det

1		BEFORE POLLUTION CONTROL		
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON			
3	IN THE MATTER OF LEONARD RIST,	)		
4	Appel	)	DCUD No	02 114
5		ianc,	PCHB No.	
6	v.	)	CONCLUSIO	NDINGS OF FACT, ONS OF LAW AND
7	CONTROL AUTHORITY,	TION )	ORDER	
8	Respo	ndent.		
9				

This matter, the appeal of a notice of violation and civil penalty for violation of open burning statutes and codes, came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board; Larry Faulk, Member, and Gayle Rothrock, presiding; at Vancouver, Washington, on February 14, 1983. The informal proceedings were electronically recorded.

Appellant Leonard Rist and his wife appeared pro se. Respondent Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority appeared by its attorney David Jahn.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were examined. From

the testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

## FINIDNGS OF FACT

Ι

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

ΙΙ

On July 15, 1982, just after mid-day, the appellant Mr. Rist allowed or caused an outdoor fire of natural vegetation and scrap materials to occur at the site of his residence, which is also the site of his wife's recycling business.

III

The fire pile was elongated; approximately twelve feet long and two feet wide at the rear of the premises. At the bottom of the fire pile, somewhat charred, lay pieces of metal cans, plastic, magazines and catalogs, bottles, and general garbage. The pile was built of blackberry briars and old fruit trees. A garden hose rested beside the fire.

ΙV

Respondent's inspector noticed smoke from the roadway and arrived at the site of the fire and discussed codes and practices of open burning, residential and commercial, with appellant Rist. The fire did not burn out while they spoke and died out much later. appellant received and signed a field notice of violation of Section

2

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 82-114

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $20^{\circ}$ 

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

400-035 of Regulation I and was left with the impression that any enforcement matter was over.

V

Appellant received a regular notice of violation and a letter from the Executive Director of respondent agency levying a \$250 fine, \$150 of which was to be suspended because of the Rist's recent move to Vancouver and Clark County and consequent lack of familiarity with certain Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority (SWAPCA) and fire department outdoor fire rules. Appellant appealed this penalty to the Executive Director, who, in turn, told him to appeal to the Board at its offices in Olympia/Lacey in accordance with WAC 371-08, rules for filing appeals.

VI

The appellant mailed his appeal to the Board, it arriving here 32 days after the subject penalty was received at his home. Time was lost in obtaining the Board's address and forwarding a regular appeal. Additional time was consumed in two mailings and delivery. Appellant did not carelessly file an appeal, without regard to statutory and administrative code time limits.

VII

Appellant has no prior record of violation of SWAPCA regulations.

Appellant's wife has been in the recycling business a relatively short period of time.

VIII

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER

PCHB No. 82-114

 $^{26}$ 

hereby adopted as such.

 $23^{\circ}$ 

From these Findings the Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

The Legislature of the State of Washington has enacted the following policy on outdoor fires:

It is the policy of the state to achieve and maintain high levels of air quality and to this end to minimize to the greatest extent reasonably possible the burning of outdoor fires. Consistent with this policy, the legislature declares that such fires should be allowed only on a limited basis under strict regulation and close control. (RCW 70.94.740).

pursuant to this and other legislative authority, the respondent has adopted its Regulation I, Section 400-035, which provides in relevant part:

No person shall ignite, cause to be ignited, permit to be ignited, or suffer, allow, or maintain any open fire within the jurisdiction of the Authority, except as provided in this Regulation...(2) Open burning may be done under permit (under certain conditions)...

Appellant's failure to inquire about an open burning permit, permissible burning seasons, and lawful disposal methods for recycling business trash caused him to be engaged in open burning of natural vegetation mixed with trash, which cannot qualify for permit and therefore, to be in violation of Section 400-035(2).

II

Under our State's policy of limited outdoor burning, it is not safe to assume that even a little recycling business trash may be disposed of by open burning. Before igniting outdoor fires, it is the FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER

responsibility of the citizen concerned to become aware of and to 1 adhere precisely to air pollution control rules, such as respondent's Regulation I. Because, however, the violations committed by the appellant are apparently his first offenses against respondent's Regulation I, part of the assessed penalty should be suspended. III Appellant's formal appeal document was filed in good faith with the Board but came after the 30-day filing period due to extentuating circumstances. The appeal meets the requirements of WAC 371-08 in such a fashion as to be a valid acceptable appeal. Respondent's motion to dismiss for failure to timely file is without merit. IV hereby adopted as such.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is

From these Conclusions, the Pollution Control Hearings Board enters this

17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 26 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 82-114 27

ORDER

The notice of violation and \$250 civil penalty is affirmed; provided, however, that \$200 of the penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not violate respondent's regulations for a period of one year after this Order becomes final.

DONE this day of February, 1983.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

FAULK, Member